

Dear Federal Communications Commission:

Please accept these comments regarding the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Communication Towers and Birds, WT Docket No. 03-187. The overwhelming evidence submitted to the FCC documents that the existing 90,000 antenna tower structures cause the death of millions of migratory birds, that these deaths are cumulatively significant for many birds, including Birds of Conservation Concern, and that there are readily available techniques to prevent this killing. The FCC should adopt measures immediately and STOP THE DELAYS in addressing this pressing environmental issue.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), whose duties under federal law include the protection of migratory birds, concludes that at least 5 million and as many as 50 million birds are killed at FCC registered towers each year, and that population impacts to migratory songbirds are severe and biologically significant. The FCC has peer-reviewed published studies of bird kills at towers, including a 38-year study of a single television tower in west central Wisconsin documenting 121,560 birds killed, representing 123 species, primarily long-distance neotropical migrants. A 29-year study by the Tall Timbers Research station at a Florida TV tower documented the killing of over 44,000 birds of 186 species, 94% of which were neotropical migrants. More than 60 of the species killed at towers are USFWS Birds of Conservation Concern, needing special attention to prevent Endangered Species Act (ESA) listing.

It should be apparent from the studies and comments submitted to the FCC in response to the Notice of Inquiry and this NPRM, that the standard for significance at issue for FCC legal action and compliance is not a scientific standard, but rather a statutory standard under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and that these bird kills clearly constitute a significant impact to biological resources under NEPA. Thus, the FCC is required to act under NEPA and under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the ESA to prevent these significant environmental impacts to birds.

The overwhelming scientific documentation and research that the FCC has received supports changes to tower siting, lighting, and use of guy wires. The USFWS Tower Siting Guidelines should be followed, as should the

Federal Aviation Administration stated preference for the use of white strobe lighting exclusively at night where possible. The FCC should stop delaying and require that:

- 1) New antennas should be co-located on existing structures, and if not, kept under 200' if possible
- 2) If lighting is required, only white or red strobe lighting should be used - use of steady-burning or slow-pulsing red lights should cease;
- 3) All existing towers using red steady-burning or slow-pulsing lights should be converted to white or red strobes; and
- 4) New towers under 500' should not use guy wires unless absolutely necessary

New and older research documents that red steady-burning or slow-pulsing lights attract birds to towers at night and that many of the ensuing deaths are from striking guy wires. The Michigan research by Dr. Joelle Gehring documents that 90% of bird kills are caused by guy wires. Turning off red steady-burning lights at night and using red or white strobe lights reduced bird kills by approximately 72%.

The FCC can choose to continue to delay in this matter and continue to violate NEPA, ESA, and the MBTA. Or, the FCC can solve this problem and adopt provisions for the co-location of towers, the use of strobe lights exclusively on all towers, and for not allowing guy wires on new towers where possible. I urge the FCC to act NOW to prevent the deaths of millions of birds at communication towers each year.

The above paragraphs were written by the American Bird Conservancy & contain all the facts & statistics you need to make the right decision. What these paragraphs do not contain is the soul of the matter. If someone is actually reading this entire letter, I URGE you to PLEASE do what you know in your heart is right. There is plenty of science to back up the tangible reasons for this request but do not forget the intangible reasons. When we loose these birds, we loose something more important than the science. We loose a part of ourselves.

I have been birdwatching for about 20 years & I have noticed that especially in the last 10 years or so it has become harder & harder to find many species of birds. Not so coincidently, communication towers have also increased astronomically in this time period. Certainly,

communication towers are not the only reason for the decline in bird populations, but many of the other reasons are not as easily fixed as this one is.

Sincerely,

Laura Dornan

















